## Supporting Information

# Efficient emission quasi-two-dimensional perovskite films casted by ink-jet printing for pixel-defined matrix light-emitting diodes 

Junjie Wang ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, Danyang Li ${ }^{1}$, Jian Wang ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, Junbiao Peng ${ }^{1, *}$

${ }^{1}$ Institute of Polymer Optoelectronic Materials and Devices, State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China.

* E-Mail: psjbpeng@scut.edu.cn


Figure S1. (a) Absorption spectra, (b) PL spectra and (c) PLQY of perovskite films with different $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ proportions.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ | Br | $\left[\mathrm{PbBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ | $\left[\mathrm{SrBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ | Octahedral formation energy of $\left(\left[\mathrm{PbBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}\right)$ | Octahedral formation energy of $\left(\left[\mathrm{SrBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}\right)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 14.486 \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.422 \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.976 \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -29.178 \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -30.956 \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $-13.808 \mathrm{eV}$ | $-12.522 \mathrm{eV}$ |

Octahedral formation energy of $\left(\left[\mathrm{ABr}_{6}\right]^{4-}\right)=\left[\mathrm{ABr}_{6}\right]^{4-}-\mathrm{A}^{2+}-6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$

Figure S2. Octahedral formation energy calculation results of $\left[\mathrm{PbBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{SrBr}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ by DFT.


Figure S3. Schematic illustration of the spin-coating process for perovskite films on quartz substrate and crystallizing time.


Figure S 4 . (a) Absorption spectra of quasi-2D perovskite films with $6 \% \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{CaBr}_{2}, 6 \%$ $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$, (b) XRD spectra of quasi-2D perovskite films with $6 \% \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{CaBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$, (c) PLYQ of quasi-2D perovskite films with $6 \% \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{CaBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}, 6 \% \mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$.


Figure S5. Atomic force microscope images of perovskite film, (a) without and (b) with $6 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$.


Figure S6. The histogram of grain size distribution.


Figure S7. Absorption spectra of (a) $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$ in DMSO , (b) $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ film and $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$ film, (c) $\mathrm{CsSrBr}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ in DMSO, (d) $\mathrm{CsSrBr}_{3}$ film and $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ film.


Figure S8. (a) TA spectra, (b) TA spectra at selected timescales, (c) TA traces as a function of time and extracted fast component decay constants $\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ for different phases, (d) Relative contents of different phases for quasi-2D perovskite films with $6 \% \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$, the relative contents were obtained according to the amplitude of GSBs in TA spectra at 0.12 ps .


Figure S9. TA traces as a function of time and extracted fast component decay constants ( $\tau_{1}$ ) for different phases (a) without and (b) with $6 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$. Relative contents of different phases for quasi-2D perovskite films (c) without and (d) with $6 \% \operatorname{SrBr}_{2}$, the relative contents obtained according to the amplitude of GSBs in TA spectra at 0.12 ps .

|  | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{au}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pristine | 26.2 | 8.0 | $39 \%$ | 52.0 | $44 \%$ | 1.4 | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 \%} \mathbf{P b B r}_{2}$ | 16.0 | 1.3 | $23 \%$ | 6.4 | $43 \%$ | 38.2 | $34 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 \%} \mathbf{S r B r}_{2}$ | 39.5 | 13.3 | $39 \%$ | 68.0 | $50 \%$ | 2.6 | $11 \%$ |

Figure S10. TRPL fitting data of perovskite films without additive, with $6 \% \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$ and with $6 \%$ $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$, respectively.


Figure S11. Energy level diagram of the PeLED device structure.
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|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{on}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{cd} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | EL peak <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\mathrm{CE}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{cd} \mathrm{A}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{EQE}($ <br> $\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 12064 | 510 | 24.0 | 8.2 |
| $6 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ | 2.9 | 15194 | 509 | 39.2 | 13.9 |
| $9 \% \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 11406 | 507 | 29.1 | 11.0 |

Figure S12. (a) Current density-voltage-luminance, (b) current efficiency-current density curves, and (c) EL spectra of PeLEDs with different $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$ proportions.


Figure S13. (a) Current density-voltage-luminance, (b) current efficiency-current density curves, and (c) EL spectra of PeLEDs with $6 \% \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$.


Figure S14. (a-c) EL images of perovskite film pixel under a driven voltage of $3.5 \mathrm{~V}, 4.5 \mathrm{~V}$, and 5.5 V , respectively.


Figure S15. (a, b) The confocal images of perovskite film.
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Figure S16. (a) Photo of a working PeLED device operated under voltage of 4.5 V with an active area of $10.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, (b) EL intensity curves of the selected micro-areas marked in (a).


Figure S17. Transmittance of glass and PI substrates.

Table S1. Comparison of device performances for PeLEDs based on ink-jet printing technology reported to date.
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